## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Bettina Mussumeli	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 08-2895 MMC
V. United States of America	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met ar following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the follow	ving ADR process:
appreciably more likely to meet their needs	NE) (ADR L.R. 5)  tlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an
ADR phone conference and may not file the ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Ru	is form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process:  Private ADR (please identify)	process and provider)
referring the case to an ADR	by: the deadline is 90 days from the date of the order A process unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated: 08/18/2008	Steven J. Brady Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 08/18/2008	Michael T. Pyle Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

✓ 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 19, 2008

MAXINE M. CHESNEY
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE